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The use of computers as writing instruments has not only had a profound effect on the writing practice and the attitudes towards writing, it has also created new possibilities for writing research. In the field of cognitive writing research especially, keystroke-logging programs have become very popular. In this paper we describe a new logging program, called Inputlog. The program consists of three modules: (1) a data collection module that registers on-line writing processes on a very detailed level; (2) a data analysis module that offers basic and more advanced statistical analyses (e.g., text and pause analysis); and (3) a play module that enables researchers to review the writing session. In this chapter we describe the technical and functional characteristics of Inputlog and we wind up the paper with a preview of the plans for further developments.
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1 Introduction

As most writers nowadays produce nearly all of their texts on a word processor, the computer has not only become the major writing instrument, its use as a research tool has also increased. The computer enables researchers to collect detailed information about the
writing process that were hardly accessible before. Or, as Spelman Miller and Sullivan state in the introduction of this volume:

As an observational tool, keystroke logging offers the opportunity to capture details of the activity of writing, not only for the purposes of the linguistic, textual and cognitive study of writing, but also for the broader applications concerning the development of language learning, literacy, and language pedagogy. (p. 1)

As we see throughout the book, nowadays, researchers make frequent use of keystroke logging tools to describe online writing processes in detail. These logging programs enable researchers to exactly register and accurately reconstruct the writing processes of writers that compose texts at the computer. The basic concept of the different logging tools that have been developed is more or less comparable. First, the keystroke logging tools register all keystrokes and mouse movements. During the writing process these basic data are stored for later processing. This continuous data storage does not interfere with the normal usage of the computer, creating an ecologically valid research context. At a later stage, the logged data can be made available for further analysis, either within the program environment itself or as exported data in statistical programs such as SPSS or SAS. Depending on the research question, researchers can choose to analyze different aspects of the writing process and the writing behavior by combining, for instance, temporal data (e.g., time stamps or pauses) with process data (keystrokes or mouse movements). The data collection (and processing) can be performed much faster and more accurately by means of the computer, than it could ever be done manually.

For the development of Inputlog we were able to fall back on the functionality of two existing programs: JEdit and Trace-it on the one hand (Kollberg, 1998; Severinson Eklundh, 1992, 1994; Severinson Eklundh & Kolberg, 1996b, 2003), and ScriptLog on the other (Strömquist & Malmsten, 1998; Strömquist, Holmqvist, Johansson, Karlsson, & Wengelin, this volume). As mentioned in the introductory chapter, JEdit and Trace-it are only suitable for Macintosh personal computers. JEdit only logs data in an in-house developed limited word processor. ScriptLog also mainly logs in a limited word processor that was developed for research purposes (i.e., mainly writing experiments with young children). Trace-it features an extended revision module, while ScriptLog combines logging data with recorded eye-tracking data.

1.1 JEdit

JEdit is a logging word processor that has been developed for Macintosh. JEdit supports some of the most common text editing functions (e.g., cut, paste, undo, redo, text formatting). Furthermore, it provides basic statistics about writing sessions, such as information about pauses, commands used, input devices used, etc.

JEdit logs a writing session in an icon-based log file format (for example, see introductory chapter). This log can be exported to a file in the so-called MID-format. MID — an acronym for 'Movement, Insertion, and Deletion' — is an editor-independent format that
summarizes every elementary operation in the writing process in these three actions and tags them with a unique time stamp so that the writing session can be analyzed using the S-notation (Kollberg, 1998). In the S-notation the final text of the logged writing session is represented in a semi-linear way, including all revisions.

Every revision the writer has performed is represented in the order of occurrence while retaining the internal structure. In sum, the exact history of the text is represented, instead of the purely linear, chronological representation of the logging file.

The data in MID-format can be read by Trace-it, an interactive computer program specifically designed to support the analysis of revisions. Based on the S-notation, the program replays the text on the screen and mainly focuses on the revision process. The interface is easy to use and the text representation is system-independent. Therefore, it can be used to study revision strategies of writers using different computers or word processors. The Trace-it environment supports various types of interactive analyses and also features a replay mode of an entire writing session. Trace-it shows the text in S-notation in one window, and offers the researcher the possibility to navigate between the revisions. In an additional window, the plain text is shown, and the user can replay the writing session revision by revision, forward or backward. Statistics of the writing session can also be obtained, either as a summary or as a detailed description.

1.2 ScriptLog

Like JEdit, ScriptLog can log any writing activities that take place on a computer: every keyboard and mouse action, the screen position of these events and their temporal distribution. Initially, ScriptLog only logged data in a limited and custom-designed word processor. In more recent releases, the developers also offer limited logging for commercial word processors (keystrokes but no mouse movements). ScriptLog offers a wide range of low-level analyses that can be generated from the data, e.g., pauses, strings, transitions, and deletions. A distinguishing characteristic of ScriptLog is that its recordings can be combined with data of an eye tracker. Furthermore, ScriptLog provides facilities for designing writing experiments using elicitation instruments (e.g., pictures and time stimuli) (For more information about ScriptLog see Chapter 4, Strömqvist et al., this volume).

In this chapter we describe Inputlog, a logging tool for writing process research developed for Windows environments. First, we present a more detailed description of the technical and functional characteristics of Inputlog. To conclude we preview the further developments of the program.

2 Characteristics of Inputlog

As mentioned above, most logging-tools are either developed for a specific computing environment, or not adequately adapted to the current Windows environment. As such, they cannot be used for writing studies in which 'natural' writing and computer environments employ commercial word processors (e.g., MSWord or WordPerfect). This
discrepancy has been the main reason for deciding to develop a new logging tool, that is Inputlog.

Another impetus for the development of Inputlog has been a study\(^4\) of the influence of speech recognition on the writing process. Because it was not possible to register keyboard input in combination with speech mode data with any of the current logging tools, the process data had to be analyzed manually. The study showed that the chosen observation instruments and analyzing methods did enable us to analyze and describe the specific speech recognition writing processes (Leijten & Van Waes, 2003, 2005) but that the data analyses were very time-consuming (cf. infra).

As a result, we started to develop Inputlog in 2003. This program was designed to make analyses of several characteristics of writing processes faster and more accurate. In short, Inputlog is a logging tool that enables researchers to:

- record the data of a writing session in MSWord;
- generate data files for statistical, text, pause, and mode analyses;
- play the recorded session at different speeds; and
- capture the input of dictation processes using speech recognition software (i.e., Dragon Naturally Speaking, planned implementation, see Section 5.1).

The most distinguishing characteristic of Inputlog to date is its word processor independent functionality. Contrary to Trace-it and ScriptLog, Inputlog registers every keystroke and mouse movement independently of the word processor used. Inputlog is designed to log and analyze writing data produced in MSWord. However, the program also logs keyboard and mouse actions in other Windows based programs.\(^2\) In other words, not only writing processes as such can be observed with Inputlog, but also basic processes like consulting websites or programming in any Windows based language can be observed.

Apart from logging writing sessions, Inputlog offers researchers the possibility to analyze writing processes from different perspectives. The output data of the writing process registration is saved in a source file, the so-called IDF-file. In this source file every action of a writing session is saved. Figure 1 visualizes the flow of the program.\(^3\)

We have developed an interface in which researchers can select the analyses that have to be performed for a specific source file. This user-friendly interface allows researchers to use Inputlog in function of their research needs. This basic functionality is described in Section 4. In Section 3 we first give some more information on the technical background of Inputlog.

---

\(^1\)The study on the influence of the use of speech recognition on the writing process was part of an NOI research project (Research grant of the University of Antwerp 2000–2002 and 2002–2004).

\(^2\)Researchers should keep in mind that for certain analyses (e.g., analyses on sentence or paragraph level) only the data logged in MSWord can be used. For this kind of analyses the basic data are interpreted with a set of algorithms based on MSWord.

\(^3\)The data with an asterisk are not active in Inputlog version 1.4.
3 Technical Description

In this section we describe Inputlog from a more technical perspective. Technical terminology will be further explained in the glossary (see Appendix A). Inputlog captures input data at a level before they are converted to screen information. It captures

- scan codes of keystrokes;
- mouse activities (clicks, movements, location); and
- time stamps of all input events.

These data are stored in the so-called IDF-files (Inputlog Data Files) that are converted to different output files afterwards, preparing the rough data for qualitative and quantitative analyses (cf. functional description in Section 4).

3.1 Programming Language

Inputlog is programmed in Visual C++ and the interface is programmed in Visual Basic. We have opted for C++ as a programming language because of its processing speed and its object-oriented feature. Each part of Inputlog is programmed in different classes (see Figure 2). This enables us to easily update and debug the current analyses, and to further extend the functionality of the program. It is important to note that the resident logging program does not interfere with the usage of the word processor, and consequently, that it does not hinder the writer during his or her writing process in any way. Inputlog runs on a PC with only one CPU and its use of system resources is quite limited, contrary to, e.g. Java.

For the logging of the writing process Inputlog uses two Windows Hooks: Journalrecord and Journalplayback. Journalrecord registers every keystroke and mouse operation (movement and click) together with the corresponding time stamps. The Journalplayback is the DLL-file that supports the playback module.
3.2 Structure of Inputlog

Figure 2 shows the structure of Inputlog, or the so-called 'system topology'. Inputlog consists of four subsystems: storage, replay, logging, and analysis. The storage system activates the classes' session identification and processes the logging data. The session identification saves the relevant data to identify and name the session. The logging system saves all the logging data in a designated algorithm that is stored in an array list.

The storage system has three subordinated systems: replay, logging, and analysis. These three subsystems are all dependent on the storage system.

- The replay system needs logged data to replay a writing session.
- The logging system needs to provide information to the session information.
- The analysis system needs information about the session identification to confirm and name the correct writing session.

The session identification can run any type of analysis by initializing the right class (e.g., PauseAnalyst initializes the pause analysis). The classes' player and logger are the so-called 'singletons', viz. only one of them can be active in the system. Consequently, Inputlog always needs to perform a consecutive analysis to verify that only one of these two subsystems is active: the logger cannot log while playing and vice versa.

The three subsystems can function independently. The GUI interacts with the session identification to play, log, or analyze a logging session.

3.3 Structure of IDF-Files

Each IDF-file contains the data of one logging session. In this file the logging data are combined with the session identification data (see Section 4.1). The structure of the IDF-file is shown in Figure 3.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bytes</th>
<th>meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>starting time of the log in milliseconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 * 4</td>
<td>14 digits that indicate the size (viz. number of signs) of the session variables (6 values for the defined variables, 4 for the user defined variables and 4 for the undefined values)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 * #</td>
<td>14 session variables * number of the size that has already been read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># * 20</td>
<td>serial number of keyboard and/or mouse event (type Eventmsg) Each event that is logged by Inputlog creates a log event of 20 bytes. An Eventmsg is:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bytes</td>
<td>meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>message type (key in, key out, mousemovement, left mouse button in, left mouse button out, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>paraml for keystrokes: virtual keycode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for mouse events: x-value of location of the mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>paramH for keystrokes: scancode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for mouse events: y-value of location of the mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>timestamp in milliseconds starting from the beginning of the log (time event – starting time of computer) * starting time of the log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>handle to active window</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Structure of the IDF-file.

3.4 Conversion of Files

The session identification information and the logging data are converted to a Microsoft Excel sheet or an HTML-file. The data files can also be converted to SPSS, or any other statistical program. Because the data are prestructured to facilitate further statistical analyses, it is important to define labels and variables before starting the writing session. Inputlog provides the possibility to attribute six predefined (participant, age, sex, session, group, experience) and four extra user-defined variables. Empty columns in the session identification, viz. undefined variables, are automatically assigned the missing code "99". This eases the process of filtering empty columns that are not needed for further analysis. Besides, in the Microsoft Excel output, the first column is automatically generated. This enables researchers to assign a unique number to every single variable or row.

3.5 Program Settings

Inputlog is dependent on some basic settings that are particular to the computer configuration that is used to log the writing session. We shortly discuss three main configuration elements: screen settings, keyboard layout, and the memory allocation.

3.5.1 Screen settings As stated before, Inputlog replicates the writing session exactly as it is logged. Therefore, it is important that the computer settings — both screen and program settings (e.g., toolbars, language settings, personal dictionaries, etc.) — are exactly the
same when replaying a writing session as when the writing session was recorded. A short example is given to illustrate this issue. A writing session is recorded with only the standard toolbar active. However, between the logging session and the moment the observation session is replayed, the formatting and reviewing toolbar are added to the working environment which results in a different positioning of certain icons on the screen in comparison to the previous screen outline. Consequently, because the replay uses the graphic xy-position of mouse clicks, the cursor might select a different icon or item than it did at the time the session was recorded. Figure 4 shows, for instance, that during a replay with different screen settings, instead of changing the font into size 12, the paste-icon was selected. The result is that the continuity of the writing process reconstruction is severely disturbed. Therefore, researchers are recommended to keep the settings of the computer screen exactly the same between logging and replaying.

Note that this particular point of interest should only be taken into account when using the replay function of Inputlog. The generation of the different analyses is not disturbed by different settings.

---

4Because the revision analysis is also based on the replay function, the settings should also be consistent for this analysis. However, in Inputlog 1.4 this function is not yet activated.
3.5.2 Keyboard layout  A second configuration aspect that can influence the logging of
a writing session are the keyboard layouts of the computer used during the logging session.
As a result of the amount of different keyboard layouts, Inputlog has to detect the correct
layout of the keyboard used. For instance, if a writing session is logged with QWERTY-
settings and the actual layout of the keyboard is AZERTY, the following sentence will be
represented incorrectly in the logging output:

Typed sentence: This is a short writing session in Inputlog.
Logged sentence: This is q short zriting session in Inputlog.

To avoid this problem Inputlog is programmed to detect the correct hard-coded key-
board layouts for the lowercase characters and reads the connected Windows settings for
the uppercase characters. At this moment, 33 different keyboard layouts are predefined in
Inputlog 1.4 (see the program’s help-file for a detailed list).

4 Functional Description

The previous section described the technical background of Inputlog. In this section we
shortly explain the basic functionality of the program and its interface. The interface of
Inputlog consists of an entry screen and four different tab pages: record, generate, play, and
help (see Figure 5). The help file is a standard html-file that can also be consulted without
starting Inputlog itself. For more detailed information about the use of the program we
refer to this file.

4.1 Entry Screen

Inputlog starts with an entry screen that provides the user with a short overview of the pro-
gram. The user can opt to start a new logging session, he or she can generate files from an
existing logging file or he or she can choose to replay a logged session. The different tabs
give the user direct access to the different functions. By using the main menu items at the
top of the screen — File, Settings, and Help — basic file operations can be activated, pro-
gram settings can be changed and the help file can be accessed.

4.2 Record

By selecting the record tab, users can start a new logging session. However, before a new
session is started the researcher can first specify the file information and the identification
data for a specific session. This information will be included in all the analysis files that
will be generated based on the session source file, facilitating the identification of each
writing session.

In the file information, users can indicate where they want to save the logging session on
their computer hard or network drive, and they can enter the unique filename for the source
file, e.g. FirstnameLastname1(=Firstname participant+Lastname participant+Number of
The file that will be generated in the recording session has the extension *.idf, which is added automatically. This file will be used as an input for the generate and play functions (cf. infra).

Each logging session can be identified by a maximum of ten variables (six predefined and four user-defined variables). These variables should enable the researcher to identify a writing session in detail.

4.3 Generate

The generate tab opens a window showing the different analysis options. In this part of the program, analysis files can be generated on the basis of a source file that was recorded in a previous logging session. In other words, any IDF-file can be opened at any time to generate data output files for specific analyses. The generate window exists of three sections. In the data information section, users can specify the IDF-file from which they would like to generate the analyses. In the data output section the researcher can specify which output needs to be generated. In the section below the data information, a list of all the files to be generated is displayed.
Inputlog 1.4 offers four different data analyses:

1. **General logging file**: a spreadsheet with a basic log file of the writing session in which every line represents an input action (letter, function, mouse click, or movement); for every input action the session information is stored together with an identification of the input, the time stamp, the pausing time that followed it, and — for a mouse operation — the xy-value of the screen position (for an overview see Appendix B).

2. **Statistical analysis**: a spreadsheet with basic statistical information on the writing session such as the session information, some basic data about the written text (product and process), pausing behavior and the use of the different writing modes.

3. **Text analysis**: a plain linear text in HTML format with the complete linear production of the text including mouse movements and other activities; extra options allow for the production of a linear output in which the writing activities are divided into periods (fixed time periods of X sec, free to choose) or intervals (fixed number of intervals in which the writing process is to be divided, free to choose).

4. **Pause analysis**: a spreadsheet with analyses of every non-scribal period; the threshold for the pauses can be set to 1, 2, or 5 sec as a standard or to any user defined level.

Two other analyses are under construction at this moment:

1. **Mode analysis**: a spreadsheet with information about the distribution of the writing modes (keyboard, mouse, speech technology) that were used as an input device during the writing session.

2. **Revision analysis**: a spreadsheet with a basic analysis of the number, the level and the kind of revision that has taken place during the writing session.

Inputlog takes some time to generate the requested files; the progress is shown in the 'generated file' section. The different files are all placed in the same folder as the source file of the selected writing session (cf. 4.2 Record). This allows users to keep track of the recordings and analyses per writing session. The Excel files of the statistical and pause analysis consist of two parts (worksheets): a full analysis and an overview or summary of the writing session. The full analyses enable researchers to merge different files in a statistical program and to run further statistical analyses on the data. The overview on the other hand provides a short summary of the data. In addition, Inputlog creates for each level of pause analysis another worksheet in Microsoft Excel (e.g., pause-analysis-1-second and pause-analysis-5-seconds; see Appendix C for further information).

### 4.4 Play

A recorded writing session can be replayed using Inputlog. Again, the IDF-file is used as a source file for the replay. To verify the information labels of the file that is selected for a play back session, all defined variables of the session identification appear in the dialog box on the left side of the screen. The writing session can be replayed at different speeds. It can be played back exactly as it was recorded (in real time); this is an exact reproduction of the recording of the session. Another option is that users select a percentage of the real time speed. However, we would like to restrict this option to a maximum of 120% of
the original speed. Otherwise the program may have difficulties in processing and performing certain actions that require extensive memory access. The final option ‘pauses at a fixed value’ enables researchers to assign a fixed value, e.g. 0.1 s, to every pause (nonscribal activity). This allows users to view a writing session without long interruptions or pauses.

4.5 Help File

The help tab contains a structured manual of the program. The help tab is a combination of a short instruction of Inputlog functionality and a program description. The main part of the help file consists of three chapters, each corresponding to the three basic functions of the software tool: record, generate, and play. The help on each of these functions is also directly available via the help button on the associated tabs.

5 Further Development

To facilitate a broad usage of Inputlog, the program is put at the disposal of the research community for free on www.inputlog.net, provided that reference is made to this publication. The users’ feedback is very important for the evaluation and further development of Inputlog.

We have identified four important niches that may increase the applicability of Inputlog, especially in the domain of writing process research. In the near future we would like to further develop the following (in order of priority):

1. a module for logging speech recognition events;
2. a module for revision analysis;
3. a module for progression analyses (basic and extended); and
4. a module for integration with Morae (a macro oriented observation tool developed by Techsmith\(^5\))

In addition to these developments, we will pay special attention to the further development and optimization of the existing modules. Furthermore, the compatibility with different versions of the Windows operating systems and the Office environment will require constant attention. Finally, the integration of our logging data with data from eye tracking observations is also on the agenda.

5.1 Speech Recognition

In our current research we are studying the influence of speech recognition on the writing process (Leijten & Van Waes, 2005). For this study we observed the writing processes of

\(^5\)For more information about Morae we refer to the Techsmith’s website: www.techsmith.com.
twenty participants who used speech recognition software during their day-to-day work in their professional business contexts (five observations of approximately half an hour per participant). The main research question is how writers adapt their writing process to the possibilities and limitations of this new writing mode, i.e. using continuous speech recognition as a writing device: How do they adapt their writing style to the dictation mode? How do they interact with the ‘text produced so far’ (= spoken text made visible on the screen)? How do they combine the different writing modes (speech, keyboard, and mouse) in their writing process? Does their writing strategy and their organization of the writing process change over time?

To answer these questions, we had to develop a research method that enabled us to describe the writing processes of persons using speech technology in their writing activities, taking into account the specific characteristics of this writing mode. In a previous research project we collected data of writing process data using QuickRecord (capturing the oral input of the dictators in a WAV format) and Camtasia (capturing the screen activity in an AVI format, i.e. representing the dictated text as it evolves during the writing session; see also Degenhardt, 2005). However, the analyses of the data collected in this way are extremely laborious. Therefore, computer assisted logging would be very helpful to facilitate the recording and analyses of writing sessions in which speech technology is used. Because Inputlog version 1.4 only logs keystrokes and mouse movements, we would also like to log the input produced by speech technology in the next release. More specifically, we would like to log the input of the most widely used speech recognition software, i.e. Dragon Naturally Speaking. To facilitate this integration, a new API was added to the professional version of Dragon Naturally Speaking 8.1, which enables us to integrate the dictated text with the data logged by Inputlog. The new API makes it possible to generate an XML file of a recorded speech session, including time stamps for every event.

The general logging file generated by Inputlog also relies on time stamps as a basis for the data analysis. In Inputlog 1.4 this file is converted to Excel files for further analyses. For the new release of Inputlog we opted to convert this source file to XML files, because this format is more flexible to implement. The XML format also enables us to combine and integrate the speech recognition data into one general logging file based on the convergence of time stamps (see Figure 6). The result is a single file that can be used for further analysis of multi modal writing sessions in which speech input is combined with keyboard and mouse.

Figure 6 shows a short excerpt of a logged writing process in which three writing modes were used (column one): keyboard (1), mouse (2), and speech (3). When typing the word ‘citation’, the letters are each represented in one row, together with the time stamps of the key presses (in and out). The words that are dictated with the DNS software are each represented as tokens (of which also a WAV recording is logged). These logging data enable us to study the hybrid character of this kind of writing processes, e.g. by analyzing mode switches, repairs or productivity rate. In this example, for instance, we notice that it took the participant about 3 s to type the first word; the dictation of the eight words that followed the typing, took also about 3 s. This shows the potential productivity rate of speech recognition.

---

6 We would like to thank Stijn van Even, Guido Gallopyn, and Neil Grant of Scansoft for all their efforts in making the logging facility at Dragon Naturally Speaking available to us.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>writing mode</th>
<th>output</th>
<th>input in_sec</th>
<th>input out_sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.315</td>
<td>3.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>4.006</td>
<td>4.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>5.197</td>
<td>5.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>5.367</td>
<td>5.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>5.658</td>
<td>5.718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>6.149</td>
<td>6.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>6.349</td>
<td>6.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>6.649</td>
<td>6.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SPACE</td>
<td>8.352</td>
<td>8.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td>8.903</td>
<td>8.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td>9.053</td>
<td>9.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9.974</td>
<td>10.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>was</td>
<td>10.133</td>
<td>10.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>just</td>
<td>10.393</td>
<td>10.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>guessing</td>
<td>10.732</td>
<td>11.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>11.291</td>
<td>11.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>numbers</td>
<td>11.410</td>
<td>12.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>12.009</td>
<td>12.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>figures</td>
<td>12.168</td>
<td>13.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>42.761</td>
<td>43.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Left Buttox</td>
<td>43.893</td>
<td>43.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Left Buttox</td>
<td>44.113</td>
<td>44.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>evolution</td>
<td>46.427</td>
<td>46.982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Example of integrated logging file combining three writing modes: keyboard (1), mouse (2), and speech (3) input.

The implementation of speech recognition in Inputlog will stimulate research on the effect of this new technology on the writing process (of both professional writers and writers with learning disabilities). Moreover, we would also like to explore the logging possibilities of speech recognition to simultaneously transcribe thinking-aloud protocols and/or retrospective interviews.

5.2 Revision Analysis

Work on the revision analysis for Inputlog has already been started, but because of its complexity it is very time-consuming to further stabilize and extend the analysis. In the revision analysis we would like to produce an output analysis in which different characteristics of in-process revisions are described, e.g. the number of revisions, type of revisions, level of revisions, number of words and characters involved in the revision operation, as well as the location of the revisions in relation to the point of utterance. To define revisions we have developed an algorithm and a set of rules. The revision analysis first of all defines critical events in the writing process that might be linked to a revision and then evaluates these instances by comparing the operations in the isolated writing episode to the revision
rules in the algorithm. Inputlog successively analyses the beginning of the revision, the selection of the text to revise or the positioning of the cursor, the (possible) deletion of the text and the end of the revision. In Figure 7 we describe two (technical) revision operations to change the last word of the sentence 'Questions of science, science and progress.' into 'evolution'.

Questions of science, science and [progress.]

The first operation is a very basic one: the writer simply uses the backspace key at the point of utterance to delete the full stop and the word 'progression' and then types the new word 'evolution' (see rule 1). This is a rather minimal operation, because the writer does not have to move nor position the cursor in the text produced so far. However, the writer could also opt for another sequence to realize this substitution: he can move the mouse to the left, position the cursor by clicking on the left button on the mouse, use the delete key to delete the word, change the text and move to the point of utterance by using arrow keys to the right (see rule 2).

At the moment we have predefined about 50 sets of rules to test the algorithm for deletions and substitutions. However, after the testing phase, the rules will have to be extended and further tested to cover a more complete range of revisions.

5.3 Progression Analyses

At this moment the development of the text is represented in the linear text analysis. To visualize this textual development we would like to extend Inputlog with two graphical representations of the text progression, a basic and a more extended one. In the basic progression analysis we would like to visually represent the number of characters that are produced at each moment during the writing process taking into account the characters that are deleted at that stage. This basic progression analysis is based on writing strategies research by Perrin (2003).

Because this basic analysis is a static reproduction of the writing process, we would also like to develop a more interactive representation inspired by Lindgren (2005). She uses a Geographical Information System (GIS) to visualize and summarize the writing process. GIS enables researchers to analyze different sub-processes of the writing process by selecting representative variables. The graphical representations are not static, but they allow a researcher to interact with the data at different levels and to move back and forward between the data and their representation. Consequently, as well as being a tool for visualization and data-mining, this technique can support a dynamic analysis of the cognitive processes during
writing due to the interactive nature of the data-mining approach on which GIS is based. Figure 8 shows an example of a GIS graph that is based on a manually adapted dataset of Inputlog.\(^7\) To generate these kind of progression analyses automatically, we first need to further optimize the revision analysis because these are based on the revision output.

On the x-axes the time (in seconds) is represented; on the y-axes the number of characters that are produced eq. realized effectively in the text produced so far are indicated. The top line indicates the total character production including deleted characters at each point in time; the bottom line indicates the characters retained after deletions at each point in time. The dotted line shows all the points in time at which the writer is working on the text, representing both pauses and deletions. The size of the circles refers to the length of the pause. When the line drops, a number of characters is deleted.

5.4 Integration with Morae

Inputlog is designed for micro analytic research on writing processes. However, these very detailed data can easily be combined with more macro analytic research tools. Therefore,

\(^7\)We would like to thank Eva Lindgren (Umeå University, Sweden) who generated this graph for us with Arc-GIS (ESRI).
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we would like to complement the data of Inputlog with another observation tool, for example, Morae. This program is mainly developed for usability testing and uses an online screen capture (Camtasia) to register every action on the screen. However, next to some lower level analyses, Morae also captures changes between programs at a higher level and registers, for instance, the url-addresses of websites that are accessed during a writing session. Just like Inputlog it also logs very detailed time stamps, which should enable us to integrate the additional data registered by Morae into the output of Inputlog. For the observation of writing processes during which the participants combine Word with other programs, this integration opens new perspectives for further analyses.

6 Conclusion

In this chapter we have briefly described the main characteristics and the functionality of Inputlog. The program differs from other keystroke logging programs in a way that it is not limited in its usage to a self-designed word processor. It is primarily developed to log writing processes in MSWord (Windows environment).

Inputlog offers three main functions — record, generate, and play — enabling the researchers to collect very detailed data about a writing process and to prepare some basic analyses for further study. The replay function allows for a review of the writing process and it can be also be used as a stimulus for a retrospective thinking aloud protocol. For more detailed information about the use of the program we refer to the help file and the detailed description on the program’s website: www.inputlog.net.

To increase the applicability of Inputlog we would like to further develop the program by adding new modules. Four new components are planned: a module to log speech recognition events, a module for revision analysis, a module for progression analyses and a module to integrate macro-level data recorded by Morae. We hope to report about these further developments soon.
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### Appendix A: Glossary

**Scancodes**
The data from a keyboard comes mainly in the form of scan codes, produced by key presses or used in the protocol with the computer. The PC keyboard interface is designed so the system software has maximum flexibility in defining certain keyboard operations. This is accomplished by having the keyboard return scan codes rather than ASCII codes. Each key generates a 'make' scan code when pressed and a 'break' scan code when released. The computer system interprets the scan codes to determine what operation it is to perform. (http://www.barcodeman.com/altex/mule/scandoc.php)

**Windows Hook**
A hook is a point in the system message-handling mechanism where an application can install a subroutine to monitor the message traffic in the system and process certain types of messages before they reach the target window procedure.

**journalrecord**

**journalplayback**
Public static final Hook.Descriptor JOURNALPLAYBACK Posts messages previously recorded by a JOURNALRECORD hook procedure.

**Event**
Every action on the computer

**EVENTMSG**
The EVENTMSG structure contains information about a hardware message sent to the system message queue. (This structure is used to store message information for the JournalPlaybackProc callback function.)

**GUI**
Graphical User Interface

**CPU**
Central Processing Unit: It reads instructions from your software and tells your computer what to do.

**DLL**
Dynamic Link Library: A Windows library that can be shared by multiple applications

**Array List**
Implements the IList interface using an array whose size is dynamically increased as required

**Virtual Keycode**
Unique number that identifies one key
Appendix B: General Logging File

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Labels</th>
<th>Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>number</td>
<td>Set value: 1, 2, 3, 4, ... 998, etc. Every row is defined by a unique number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>participant</td>
<td>Number assigned to the participant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>sex</td>
<td>Sex of the participant (e.g., 0 = male, 1 = female)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>session</td>
<td>Number of the experimental session (e.g., 1 = task 1, mode 1, 2 = task 1, mode 2...)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>group</td>
<td>Type of profession (e.g., 1 = academic, 2 = lawyer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>experience</td>
<td>Experience with writing modes (e.g., 1 = speech, 2 = dictating, 3 = keyboard&amp;mouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>age</td>
<td>Age of the participant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Optional user defined variables: maximum 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>writing mode</td>
<td>Input mode (1 = keyboard, 2 = mouse, 3 = speech)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>output</td>
<td>Text that appears on the screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>input in</td>
<td>Time of key in: hours:minutes:seconds,100th of a second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>input in_sec</td>
<td>Time of key in: in seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>input out</td>
<td>Time of key up: hours:minutes:seconds,100th of a second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>input out_sec</td>
<td>Time of key up: in seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td>action time</td>
<td>Time between key down and key up: hours:minutes:seconds,100th of a second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>action time_sec</td>
<td>Time between key down and key up: in seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>pause time</td>
<td>Time between consecutive key ins hours:minutes:seconds,100th of a second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>pause time_sec</td>
<td>Time between consecutive key ins in seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>x value</td>
<td>Location of mouse on x-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>y value</td>
<td>Location of mouse on y-axis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. Only the last two columns contain the values of the mouse. The location of the keystrokes are not detailed.
Appendix C: Analyses (see also help file)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyses</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General logging file</td>
<td>Microsoft Excel spreadsheet</td>
<td>Basic log file of the writing session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical analysis</td>
<td>Microsoft Excel spreadsheet</td>
<td>Basic statistical information on the writing session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text analysis</td>
<td>Text file with the final text at the end of a writing session (without layout, font, etc.) This file is generated automatically</td>
<td>Final text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear text</td>
<td>Text file with the complete linear production of the text including mouse movements and other activities</td>
<td>Linear text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear text + modes</td>
<td>Same text file as the linear text, but now the different writing modes are included</td>
<td>Linear text and writing modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear text (periods)</td>
<td>Text file with the complete linear production of the text including mouse movements and other activities of the writing process divided into periods (free to choose)</td>
<td>Linear text in periods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear text (intervals)</td>
<td>Text file with the complete linear production of the text including mouse movements and other activities of the writing process divided into intervals (free to choose)</td>
<td>Linear text in intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pause analysis</td>
<td>Microsoft Excel spreadsheet with analyses of every non-scribal period of 1 second and longer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microsoft Excel spreadsheet with analyses of every non-scribal period of 2 seconds and longer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microsoft Excel spreadsheet with analyses of every non-scribal period of 5 seconds and longer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C: (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Microsoft Excel spreadsheet with analyses of every non-scribal period of any - user-specified - pause length</th>
<th>Number of seconds free to choose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode analysis*</td>
<td>Microsoft Excel spreadsheet</td>
<td>Basic writing mode information on the writing session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision analysis*</td>
<td>Microsoft Excel spreadsheet</td>
<td>Information on different levels about the revisions in the writing session</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not active in Inputlog version 1.4.*